arXiv:1701.03929v1 [math.NT] 14 Jan 2017

ON THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION OF THE STANDARD TWIST ASSOCIATED WITH HALF-INTEGRAL WEIGHT CUSP FORMS

J.KACZOROWSKI and A.PERELLI

Abstract. Let $F(s)$ be the normalized Hecke L-function associated with a cusp form of half-integral weight κ and level N. We show that the standard twist $F(s,\alpha)$ of $F(s)$ satisfies a functional equation reflecting s to $1-s$. The shape of the functional equation is not far from a standard Riemann type functional equation of degree 2; actually, it may be regarded as a degree 2 analog of the Hurwitz-Lerch functional equation. We also deduce some result on the order of growth on vertical strips and on the distribution of zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11M41, 11F66, 11F37

Keywords: Selberg class, half-integral weight modular forms, standard twist, functional equations.

1. Introduction

1.1. Set up of the problem. In [8], [10] and [12] we introduced and studied the standard twist

$$F(s,\alpha)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a(n)}{n^s}e(-\alpha n^{1/d}) \tag{1.1}$$

of any function $F(s)$ from the extended Selberg class; here $a(n)$ and d are, respectively, the Dirichlet coefficients and the degree of $F(s)$, $e(x)=e^{2\pi ix}$ and $\alpha>0$ (see below for definitions). In particular, it turns out that $F(s,\alpha)$ has meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and polynomial growth on vertical strips, and some basic information is known about its polar structure. The standard twist plays a relevant role inside the Selberg class theory and, moreover, has interesting applications to the classical L-functions. For example, it gives asymptotic expansions for nonlinear exponential sums associated with such L-functions and provides a theoretical explanation of the Ω-results for their coefficients; see [10]. We give a more detailed description of the properties of $F(s,\alpha)$ below, in the framework of the L-functions associated with half-integral weight cusp forms.

The extended Selberg class $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ consists of non identically vanishing Dirichlet series $F(s)$, absolutely convergent for $\sigma>1$, such that $(s-1)^mF(s)$ is entire of finite order for some integer m and satisfying a functional equation of type

$$Q^s\prod_{j=1}^{r}\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)F(s)=\omega Q^{1-s}\prod_{j=1}^{r}\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\overline{\mu_j})\overline{F(1-\overline{s})} \tag{1.2}$$

with $|\omega|=1$, $Q>0$, $\lambda_j>0$ and $\Re\mu_j\ge 0$. We refer to Selberg [21], Conrey-Ghosh [3], to our survey papers [9], [6], [18], [19], [20] and to the forthcoming book [14] for definitions, examples and the basic theory of the class $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$. Here we recall that degree d and conductor q of $F(s)$ are defined, respectively, by

$$d=2\sum_{j=1}^{r}\lambda_j \qquad\qquad q=(2\pi)^dQ^2\prod_{j=1}^{r}\lambda_j^{2\lambda_j}; \tag{1.3}$$

further definitions will be introduced later on.

Let f be a cusp form of half-integral weight $\kappa = k/2$ and level N, where $k > 0$ is an odd integer and $4|N$, and $L_f(s)$ be the associated Hecke L-function. Then $L_f(s)$ is entire and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda_f(s) = \omega \Lambda_{f^*}(\kappa - s), \qquad \text{where} \qquad \Lambda_f(s) = \big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi}\big)^s \Gamma(s) L_f(s), \tag{1.4}$$

$|\omega| = 1$ and f^* is related to f by the slash operator. Note that $L_{f^*}(s)$ is also entire and has properties similar to $L_f(s)$. We refer to Ogg [16] and to Section 4.3 of Miyake [15] for the basic analytic theory of modular forms, and to Bruinier [1] for a detailed exposition of the half-integral weight case.

Comparing functional equations (1.2) and (1.4), it is clear that the function $L_f(s)$ does not belong to the extended Selberg class $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$. However, it come close after the normalization $s \mapsto s + \frac{\kappa-1}{2}$. Indeed, writing

$$F(s) = L_f(s + \frac{\kappa-1}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad F^*(s) = L_{f^*}(s + \frac{\kappa-1}{2}), \tag{1.5}$$

functional equation (1.4) becomes

$$\big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi}\big)^s \Gamma(s + \frac{\kappa-1}{2}) F(s) = \omega \big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi}\big)^{1-s} \Gamma(1 - s + \frac{\kappa-1}{2}) F^*(1-s). \tag{1.6}$$

Although this is not exactly of the form (1.2) ($F^*(s)$ is not necessarily equal to $\overline{F(\overline{s})}$, and for $k = 1$ we have $\frac{\kappa-1}{2} = \frac{k-2}{4} < 0$), most results in the Selberg class theory hold in this case as well. Note that the other requirements of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ are satisfied by $F(s)$, as it can be checked by the argument in the proof of Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 of Iwaniec [4] (see also the proof of the Theorem in Kaczorowski *et al.* [7] and on p.217-218 of Carletti *et al.* [2]). In particular, both $F(s)$ and $F^*(s)$ are absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$. Note also that, in view of (1.6), $F(s)$ has degree $d = 2$ and conductor $q = N$.

From now on we consider cusp forms f of weight κ and level N as above, and the normalized Hecke L-functions $F(s)$ and $F^*(s)$ as in (1.5). We denote by $a(n)$ and $a^*(n)$ their Dirichlet coefficients, respectively, and let $F(s,\alpha)$ be the standard twist of $F(s)$, defined by (1.1) with $d = 2$ in this case. Then, minor formal modifications to the proof of Theorem 1 of [10], or of Theorems 1 and 2 of [12], give the following properties of $F(s,\alpha)$. Writing

$$n_\alpha = N\alpha^2/4 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{Spec}(F) = \{\alpha > 0 : a^*(n_\alpha) \neq 0\}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $a^*(n_\alpha) = 0$ if $n_\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$, we have that $F(s,\alpha)$ is entire if $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Spec}(F)$. If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(F)$, then $F(s,\alpha)$ is meromorphic over $\mathbb{C}$ with at most simple poles at

$$s_\ell = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2} \qquad\qquad \ell = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{1.8}$$

(since the internal shift θ_F vanishes in this case, see its definition in [12]) and

$$\mathrm{res}_{s=s_0} F(s,\alpha) = c_0(F) \frac{a^*(n_\alpha)}{n_\alpha^{1/4}} \qquad\qquad c_0(F) \neq 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, in all cases $F(s,\alpha)$ has polynomial growth on vertical strips, although the bounds obtained in [10] and [12] are poor from a quantitative point of view.

The main result of this paper is that $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies a functional equation. Before stating the main theorem, we recall that in [11] we proved that if a general $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ has degree ≥ 2 and satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture (i.e. $a(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$), then $F(s,\alpha)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$. Actually, a variant of the arguments in [11], which we sketch in the Appendix below, proves under the same assumptions the stronger assertion that $F(s,\alpha)$ does not satisfy a functional equation of type (1.2). Nevertheless, in the case of half-integral weight modular forms we prove

that the standard twist $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies a functional equation, not exactly of Riemann type but still reflecting s into $1-s$.

Remark 1. The Ramanujan conjecture is crucial for our results in [11]. Indeed, for certain Dirichlet L-functions, say $L_1(s)$, the standard twists $L_1(s,\alpha)$ are still degree 1 functions of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ for suitable values of α. But such $L_1(s)$ can be lifted to degree 2 functions $L_2(s)$ by letting $s \mapsto 2s-1/2$, and for the same values of α their standard twists $L_2(s,\alpha)$ satisfy a degree 2 functional equation of type (1.6), with $\kappa = 1/2$ or $\kappa = 3/2$. Moreover, when $\kappa = 3/2$ both $L_2(s)$ and $L_2(s,\alpha)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$. However, such $L_2(s)$'s do not satisfy the Ramanujan conjecture, thus showing that this hypothesis is crucial in [11]; also, it turns out that they are related with half-integral weight modular forms. We refer to Section 2 of [13] for the basic properties of lifts in $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$. Apart from these special cases, we don't know examples of functions $F(s)$ satisfying a functional equation of type (1.2) of degree $d \geq 2$ with standard twist $F(s,\alpha)$ also satisfying a functional equation of the same type. □

1.2. Functional equation. Let f be a cusp form of half-integral weight $\kappa = k/2$ and level N, $F(s)$ and $F^*(s)$ be as in (1.5), $F(s,\alpha)$ be the standard twist of $F(s)$, and $a^*(n)$ be the coefficients of $F^*(s)$. We write

$$k = 2h+1 \text{ with } h \in \{0,1,2\dots\}, \quad \mu = (2h-1)/4, \quad h^* = \max(0, h-1), \tag{1.10}$$

$$\nu = \pm\sqrt{n} \text{ with } n = 1,2,\dots \text{ and } \nu_\alpha = \sqrt{n_\alpha} \text{ with } n_\alpha \text{ as in (1.7).} \tag{1.11}$$

Moreover, for $\ell = 0,\dots,h^*$ we also write

$$F^*_\ell(s,\alpha) = e^{-i\pi s}F^+_\ell(s,\alpha) + e^{i\pi s}F^-_\ell(s,\alpha), \tag{1.12}$$

where, putting

$$c^*(\nu^2) = c^*_\ell(\nu^2) = \begin{cases} -e^{i\pi\mu}a^*(\nu^2) & \text{if } \ \nu \geq 1 \\ e^{i\pi(1/2+\ell-\mu)}a^*(\nu^2) & \text{if } \ -\nu_\alpha < \nu \leq -1 \\ e^{-i\pi\mu}a^*(\nu^2) & \text{if } \ \nu < -\nu_\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

the generalized Dirichlet series $F^\pm_\ell(s,\alpha)$ are defined by

$$F^+_\ell(s,\alpha) = \sum_{\nu>-\nu_\alpha} \frac{c^*(\nu^2)}{|\nu|^{1/2+\ell}|\nu+\nu_\alpha|^{2s-1/2-\ell}}, \quad F^-_\ell(s,\alpha) = \sum_{\nu<-\nu_\alpha} \frac{c^*(\nu^2)}{|\nu|^{1/2+\ell}|\nu+\nu_\alpha|^{2s-1/2-\ell}}. \tag{1.14}$$

Note that, thanks to the convergence properties of $F^*(s)$, such generalized Dirichlet series are absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$. Note also that the second range in (1.13) is empty if $0 \leq n_\alpha \leq 1$. Finally we define the coefficients $a_\ell = a_\ell(h^*)$ by means of the following polynomial identity

$$\prod_{1\leq j\leq h^*}(X+2j-1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*} a_\ell \prod_{0\leq\nu\leq h^*-1-\ell}(X+\nu), \tag{1.15}$$

where, throughout the paper, an empty product equals 1; for example, $a_0 = 1$ for every $h^* \geq 0$. With the above notation we have

Theorem. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\ell = 0,\dots,h^*$. Then the functions $F^*_\ell(s,\alpha)$ are entire and $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$F(s,\alpha) = \frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi}\Big)^{1-2s}\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*} a_\ell\Gamma\big(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell\big)F^*_\ell(1-s,\alpha). \tag{1.16}$$

Thus $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies a functional equation not exactly of Riemann type, but not far from it. Indeed, one can see from the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 1 below that the functions $F^\pm_\ell(s,\alpha)$ can be expressed as a kind of stratification of $F^*(s)$. Therefore, (1.16) is not far

from the asymmetric form of the functional equation of $F(s)$, and hence $F(s,\alpha)$ is expected to behave essentially as a degree 2 function in the extended Selberg class $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$; this is confirmed by the results below. Note also that letting $\alpha = 0$ in (1.16) one obtains the asymmetric form of the functional equation of $F(s)$. Indeed, for every $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$ we have

$$F_\ell^*(1-s,0) = \big(e^{i\pi(s+\mu)} - e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)}\big)F^*(1-s),$$

and following the initial steps of the proof of the Theorem one can easily rebuild the Γ-factor of $F(s)$ from the Γ-factors in (1.16).

Remark 2. The functional equation in the Theorem depends on the special form of the Γ-factor in (1.6), which enables the explicit computation of a certain hypergeometric function arising in the proof, see (2.12) and (2.13) below. Actually, similar arguments can be carried over for a certain class of Γ-factors, for example of type $\Gamma(ds/2+\mu)$ with any $d \geq 1$ and suitable real values of μ, thus producing analogous functional equations for the standard twist of the corresponding L-functions. Here we restrict ourselves to (1.6) for simplicity, since it already covers an interesting classical case. □

Remark 3. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(F)$. We already pointed out that, in general, $F(s,\alpha)$ has at most simple poles at the points $s = s_\ell$ in (1.8). There are cases where $s = s_\ell$ is actually a pole for infinitely many ℓ's, see [5] where the case of holomorphic modular forms of integral weight is treated, and cases where only $s = s_0$ is a pole, e.g. for $F(s) = \zeta(s)$. The existence of infinitely or finitely many poles at the points $s = s_\ell$ depends on the structure of certain quotients of Γ functions related to the hypergeometric functions arising as Mellin transform of the Γ-factors in the functional equation of $F(s)$. In the present case it follows directly from functional equation (1.16) (but also from the analysis of such Γ-quotients) that $F(s,\alpha)$ is holomorphic apart possibly at $s = s_\ell$ with $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$, since the functions $F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)$ are entire. An explicit expression for the residue $\kappa_\ell(\alpha)$ of $F(s,\alpha)$ at $s = s_\ell$, $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$, is given in (2.23) below. Moreover, (1.16) provides an alternative expression for such residues. We finally note that, whatever the value of α is, the coefficient $a^*(n_\alpha)$ never appears on the right hand side of (1.16) (see (1.12) and (1.14)), but it pops up on the left hand side of (1.16) when $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(F)$, inside the residue at $s = s_0$ (see (1.9)). □

In the next three subsections we shall introduce several constants, sometimes explicitly depending on α; it is however clear that in general all such constants may depend also on the function $F(s)$.

1.3. Order of growth. Functional equation (1.16) and the properties of the functions $F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)$ open the possibility of a further study of the standard twist $F(s,\alpha)$. We already know that $F(s,\alpha)$ has polynomial growth on vertical strips, see Theorem 2 of [10], but the bounds there are definitely weak from a quantitative viewpoint; we denote by

$$\mu(\sigma) = \mu_F(\sigma,\alpha)$$

the Lindelöf μ-function of $F(s,\alpha)$. The functions $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$ have polynomial growth on vertical strips as well, see Lemma 2.1 below, and for each choice of $\pm$ we denote by

$$\mu^\pm(\sigma) = \inf\{\xi : F_0^\pm(\sigma+it,\alpha) \ll |t|^\xi \text{ as } t \to \pm\infty\} \tag{1.17}$$

the one-sided Lindelöf μ-function of $F_0^\pm(s,\alpha)$. One checks by standard arguments that the main properties of the Lindelöf μ-function of Dirichlet series are satisfied by $\mu^\pm(\sigma)$ as well, namely $\mu^\pm(\sigma)$ is continuous, convex, non-negative and strictly decreasing until it becomes identically vanishing. Moreover, let

$$\mu^*(\sigma) = \max\big(\mu^+(\sigma), \mu^-(\sigma)\big). \tag{1.18}$$

Under the same assumptions of the previous subsection and with the above notation we have the following degree 2 convexity bound for $F(s,\alpha)$.

Corollary 1. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$. Then the functions $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$ are entire with polynomial growth on vertical strips, and*

$$\mu(\sigma) = 1 - 2\sigma + \mu^*(1-\sigma).$$

Hence, in particular,

$$\mu(\sigma) = 0 \ \text{ for } \ \sigma \geq 1 \ \text{ and } \ \mu(\sigma) = 1 - 2\sigma \ \text{ for } \ \sigma \leq 0,$$

and the same holds for $\mu^*(\sigma)$. Comparing with the bounds for the μ-function of the general standard twist in [10], we see that Corollary 1 is definitely sharper, of course in the special case under consideration.

1.4. Trivial zeros. Our last results study the zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$, denoted as usual by $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$. We first study the trivial zeros, although distinguishing between trivial and non-trivial zeros is more delicate and unconventional in this case. Indeed, due to the shape of $F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)$ and to the lack of Euler product, trivial zeros come from the interferences between the two terms on the right hand side of (1.12), rather than from the poles of the Γ-factors as in the classical cases. As it will be clear in a moment, in this paper we restrict ourselves to a rough definition of trivial zeros, which in principle does not locate them uniquely. Recalling (1.10)-(1.13) let

$$\nu_+ = \nu_+(\alpha) \text{ be the value } \nu > -\nu_\alpha \text{ for which } c^*(\nu^2) \neq 0 \text{ and } |\nu + \nu_\alpha| \text{ is minimum,}$$
$$\nu_- = \nu_-(\alpha) \text{ be the value } \nu < -\nu_\alpha \text{ for which } c^*(\nu^2) \neq 0 \text{ and } |\nu + \nu_\alpha| \text{ is minimum}$$

and write

$$m_\pm = m_\pm(\alpha) = |\nu_\pm + \nu_\alpha| \quad \text{and} \quad c_\pm^* = \sqrt{m_\pm}\frac{c_0^*(\nu_\pm^2)}{|\nu_\pm|^{1/2}} = \rho_\pm e^{i\theta_\pm}; \tag{1.19}$$

clearly, $m_\pm, \rho_\pm > 0$, $\theta_\pm \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\rho_\pm, \theta_\pm$ depend on α. Further, for $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha) = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with distance} < \varepsilon \text{ from the line } t = \frac{\sigma}{\pi}\log\left(\frac{m_+}{m_-}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\left(\frac{\rho_+ m_-^2}{\rho_- m_+^2}\right) \right\}. \tag{1.20}$$

Then the trivial zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ may be defined as the zeros contained in the part of the tubular region $\mathcal{L}_\delta(\alpha)$ with $\sigma < -\sigma^-$, with suitable $\delta > 0$ and $\sigma^- \geq 0$. Indeed, under the same assumptions of Subsection 1.2 we have

Corollary 2. *Let $\alpha > 0$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\sigma^- \geq 0$ such that $F(s,\alpha) \neq 0$ for $\sigma < -\sigma^-$ unless $s \in \mathcal{L}_\delta(\alpha)$. More precisely, there exists $c_1(\alpha) > 0$ with the property that for every $0 < \varepsilon < c_1(\alpha)$ there exists $\sigma_\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that*

i) $F(s,\alpha) \neq 0$ for $\sigma < -\sigma_\varepsilon$ unless $s \in \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$,

ii) there exist infinitely many zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ with $\beta < -\sigma_\varepsilon$.

Hence we may choose as σ^- any fixed value larger than the infimum of the σ_ε's, and δ accordingly, although in principle this does not define uniquely the trivial zeros. However, denoting by $T_\varepsilon(R,\alpha)$ the number of the zeros in $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ with $-R \leq \beta < -\sigma_\varepsilon$, it is clear from the proof of Corollary 2 that as $R \to +\infty$

$$T_\varepsilon(R,\alpha) = c_2(\alpha)R + O_\varepsilon(1) \tag{1.21}$$

for any given $\alpha > 0$, with some $c_2(\alpha) \neq 0$ (see in particular (3.8) below). Hence trivial zeros are well defined by the above choice, apart from a finite number of them. Note that letting $\alpha = 0$ we obtain that the line in (1.20) becomes the real axis, since $\nu_- = -\nu_+$ and hence $m_- = m_+$ and $\rho_- = \rho_+$ in this case. Of course, this is consistent with the trivial zeros of $F(s)$, which lie on the real axis thanks to the special form of (1.6), and with asymptotic formula (1.21).

Remark 4. Note that when α varies in such a way that $\sqrt{n} < \nu_\alpha < \sqrt{n+1}$ with some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the coefficients of the line in (1.20) may in principle continuously vary between $-\infty$ and

$+\infty$. But when ν_α hits the square root of an integer, the position of such a line may change suddenly. This shows an interesting discontinuity in the α-behavior of $F(s,\alpha)$. □

We conclude the subsection noting that our present analysis leaves open the problem of the finer location of the trivial zeros, in particular the determination of a sharp asymptotic region around the line in (1.20) containing the trivial zeros.

1.5. Non-trivial zeros. Finally we turn to the non-trivial zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$. Let σ^+ be the upper bound of the real parts of the zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ and σ^- be as in the previous subsection. The non-trivial zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ are those in the vertical strip $\sigma^- \le \sigma \le \sigma^+$, thus the zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ are the disjoint union of trivial and non-trivial zeros. Let

$$N_F(T,\alpha) = |\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : F(\rho,\alpha) = 0, \sigma^- \le \beta \le \sigma^+, |\gamma| \le T\}| \tag{1.22}$$

be the counting function of the non-trivial zeros, and let n_0 be the smallest n with $a(n) \neq 0$. A suitable application of the argument principle gives the following analog of the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula.

Corollary 3. *Let $\alpha > 0$. Then as $T \to \infty$ we have*

$$N_F(T,\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} T \log T \ + \ \frac{T}{\pi} \log\big(\frac{N}{n_0 m_+ m_- (2\pi e)^2}\big) \ + \ O(\log T). \tag{1.23}$$

Again, note that letting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3 we get the well known asymptotic formula for the counting function of the non-trivial zeros of $F(s)$, since $m_+ m_- = n_0$ in this case. Also, Corollary 3 shows once more the degree 2 behavior of $F(s,\alpha)$. Further, when α varies we can observe a similar phenomenon as in Remark 4 in the behavior of the coefficient of T in (1.23).

We conclude remarking that, in addition to the interest coming from the applications outlined in Subsection 1.1, the above results show that the standard twist $F(s,\alpha)$ is, at least in the case of half-integral weight modular forms, also a respectable object from the L-functions point of view. Moreover, although the arguments in this paper are not sufficient to prove a functional equation for the standard twist of general $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$, we believe that indeed $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies a suitable functional equation in such a general case. We shall return on this question in a future paper, but here we add a final remark.

Remark 5. Thanks to the characterization in [8] of the degree 1 functions of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ as linear combinations of Dirichlet L-functions over Dirichlet polynomials from $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$, the standard twists of degree 1 functions in $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ are closely related to the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function

$$L(s,\alpha,\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e(-n\alpha)}{(n+\lambda)^s} \qquad\qquad 0 \le \alpha < 2\pi, \ 0 < \lambda \le 1.$$

In particular, one can check that such standard twits satisfy a functional equation of Hurwitz-Lerch type. Since (1.16) may clearly be regarded as a degree 2 analog of the Hurwitz-Lerch functional equation, and the same holds in the higher degree cases mentioned in Remark 2, it is not unreasonable to expect that, in general, standard twists satisfy a kind of general functional equation of Hurwitz-Lerch type. □

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank Jan Hendrik Bruinier for helpful discussions about half-integral weight modular forms. This research was partially supported by the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica and by grants PRIN2015 *Number Theory and Arithmetic Geometry* and 2013/11/B/ST1/02799 *Analytic Methods in Arithmetic* of the National Science Centre.

2. Proof of the Theorem and Corollary 1

2.1. Basic formula. For convenience we write

$$Q = \sqrt{N}/2\pi, \tag{2.1}$$

thus, recalling (1.10), functional equation (1.6) becomes

$$Q^s\Gamma(s+\mu)F(s) = \omega Q^{1-s}\Gamma(1-s+\mu)F^*(1-s). \tag{2.2}$$

By the reflection formula $\Gamma(z)^{-1} = \Gamma(1-z)\sin(\pi z)/\pi$ with $z = s+\mu$, we transform (2.2) in the asymmetric form

$$F(s) = \frac{\omega Q^{1-2s}}{\pi}\Gamma(1-s+\mu)\Gamma(1-s-\mu)\sin\pi(s+\mu)F^*(1-s).$$

Therefore, writing for h^* as in (1.10)

$$\mu^* = \frac{2h^*+1}{4} \tag{2.3}$$

and observing that $\mu = \pm\mu^*$ if $h^* = 0$ and $\mu = \mu^*$ if $h^* \geq 1$, for every $h^* \geq 0$ we rewrite the above functional equation as

$$F(s) = \frac{\omega Q^{1-2s}}{\pi}\Gamma(1-s+\mu^*)\Gamma(1-s-\mu^*)\sin\pi(s+\mu)F^*(1-s). \tag{2.4}$$

Thanks to the special value of μ (and hence of μ^*) in (1.10) we may suitably transform the two Γ-factors in (2.4). To this and, since $\mu^* - h^* = -\mu^* + 1/2$, we first apply h^*-times the factorial formula to the first Γ-factor in (2.4) to get (recall that an empty product equals 1)

$$\Gamma(1-s+\mu^*) = \Gamma(1-s-\mu^*+1/2)\prod_{1\leq j\leq h^*}(1-s+\mu^*-j).$$

Then we apply the duplication formula $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+1/2) = \pi^{1/2}2^{1-2z}\Gamma(2z)$ with $z = 1-s-\mu^*$. In view of (2.3), a simple computation shows that (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) = \frac{2\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{Q}{2}\Big)^{1-2s}&\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-h^*) \\ &\times\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq h^*}(2(1-s)-1/2-h^*+2j-1)\right)\sin\pi(s+\mu)F^*(1-s). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Applying identity (1.15) with $X = 2(1-s)-1/2-h^*$ we see that (2.5) can be written as

$$F(s) = \frac{2\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{Q}{2}\Big)^{1-2s}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)\right)\sin\pi(s+\mu)F^*(1-s). \tag{2.6}$$

Let now

$$X > 1, \qquad \alpha > 0, \qquad z_X = \frac{1}{X} + 2\pi i\alpha \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$F_X(s,\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a(n)}{n^s}e(-\alpha\sqrt{n})e^{-\sqrt{n}/X} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a(n)}{n^s}e^{-z_X\sqrt{n}}; \tag{2.8}$$

clearly, $F_X(s,\alpha)$ converges for every $s\in\mathbb{C}$. Given $K > 0$, for $-K < \sigma < 0$ by Mellin's transform we have

$$F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2(K+2))}F(s+\frac{w}{2})\Gamma(w)z_X^{-w}\mathrm{d}w,$$

then we shift the line of integration to $\Re w = \delta$; here and later, $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Since $F(s)$ is entire, for $-K < \sigma < -\delta$ we may use functional equation (2.6) and expand $F^*(1-s-w/2)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{2\omega}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{Q}{2}\Big)^{1-2s}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell \\ \times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\delta)}\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell-w)\Gamma(w)\sin\pi(s+\frac{w}{2}+\mu)\Big(\frac{Qz_X}{2\sqrt{n}}\Big)^{-w}\mathrm{d}w. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Using the expression $\sin\pi z = (e^{i\pi z}-e^{-i\pi z})/2i$ we rewrite (2.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{Q}{2}\Big)^{1-2s}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell \\ \times\Big(e^{i\pi(s+\mu)}H(s+\ell/2,z_X(n,\alpha))-e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)}H(s+\ell/2,-z_X(n,\alpha))\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$z_X(n,\alpha) = \frac{\pi\alpha Q}{\sqrt{n}} - i\frac{Q}{2X\sqrt{n}} \tag{2.11}$$

in view of (2.7), and

$$H(s,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\delta)}\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-w)\Gamma(w)z^{-w}\mathrm{d}w. \tag{2.12}$$

An explicit expression for the function $H(s,z)$ can be obtained from the formula for the Mellin-Barnes integral in (3.3.9) of Ch.3 of Paris-Kaminski [17], namely

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(c)}\Gamma(\xi-w)\Gamma(w)z^{-w}\mathrm{d}w = \Gamma(\xi)(1+z)^{-\xi} \tag{2.13}$$

provided $0 < c < \Re\xi$ and $|\arg z| < \pi$. To evaluate $H(s+\ell/2, \pm z_X(n,\alpha))$ we have to check the first condition, the second one being certainly satisfied in our case. Recalling that we already have the condition $-K < \sigma < -\delta$, we choose $K = h^* + 10$ and

$$-2\delta < \sigma < -\delta \ \text{ if } \ h^* \le 2 \ \text{ and } \ s_{h^*+1} + \delta < \sigma < s_{h^*} - \delta \ \text{ if } \ h^* \ge 3, \tag{2.14}$$

so in view of (1.8) the first condition is also satisfied for every $0 \le \ell \le h^*$. Therefore, from (2.12) and (2.13) we get

$$H(s+\ell/2, \pm z_X(n,\alpha)) = \Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)(1\pm z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}, \tag{2.15}$$

and inserting (2.15) into (2.10) we obtain, for σ as in (2.14), the basic formula

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\Big(\frac{Q}{2}\Big)^{1-2s}\sum_{\ell=9}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}\times \\ \times\big\{e^{i\pi(s+\mu)}(1+z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}-e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)}(1-z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where ω, a_ℓ, $z_X(n,\alpha)$ and s_ℓ are given by (1.6), (1.15), (2.11) and (1.8), respecttively. Note that the series in (2.16) is absolutely convergent since $\sigma < 0$ and $|(1\pm z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

2.2. Limit as $X \to \infty$. Letting $X \to \infty$ in (2.16) requires some care. Indeed, the limit of $F_X(s,\alpha)$ is clearly $F(s,\alpha)$ for every $\alpha > 0$ when $\sigma > 1$, but (2.16) holds in a different range, namely for s as in (2.14). Moreover, the limit of the term $(1-z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}$ is not always well defined, since as $X \to \infty$ we have $1 - z_X(n,\alpha) \to 1 - \pi\alpha Q/\sqrt{n}$, which may vanish for suitable values of α and n. Actually, in view of (2.1) and of the definition of n_α and $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$ in (1.7),

such critical values of α and n arise when $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(F)$ and $n = n_\alpha$. Therefore, before letting $X \to \infty$ we derive a different expression for $F_X(s,\alpha)$.

Since we already have information on the analytic properties of $F(s,\alpha)$, see the Introduction or [10] and [12], we now consider $F_X(s,\alpha)$ as the twist of $F(s,\alpha)$ by $e^{-\sqrt{n}/X}$, see (2.8). Hence, by Mellin's transform, for s as in (2.14), $X > 1$ and $K = h^* + 10$ we have

$$F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2(K+2))} F(s+\frac{w}{2},\alpha)\Gamma(w)X^w \mathrm{d}w.$$

The integrand has simple poles at $w = -r$, $r = 0, 1, \dots$ and, as already mentioned in the Introduction, at most simple poles at $w = 2(s_\ell - s)$, where s_ℓ are defined by (1.8). We denote by $\rho_\ell(\alpha)$ the residue of $F(s + w/2, \alpha)$ at $w = 2(s_\ell - s)$; clearly

$$\rho_\ell(\alpha) = 2\kappa_\ell(\alpha) \tag{2.17}$$

where $\kappa_\ell(\alpha)$ is the residue of $F(s,\alpha)$ at s_ℓ. We shift the line of integration to $\Re w = -\delta$ (once more, with a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, not necessarily the same as at previous places), thus collecting residues of the poles at $w = 0$ and, recalling that s is as in (2.14), also at $w = 2(s_\ell - s)$ with $0 \le \ell \le h^*$. Therefore, still for s as in (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(s,\alpha) &= F(s,\alpha) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*} \rho_\ell(\alpha)\Gamma(2(s_\ell - s))X^{2(s_\ell - s)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(-\delta)} F(s+\frac{w}{2},\alpha)\Gamma(w)X^w \mathrm{d}w \\ &= F(s,\alpha) + \Sigma_X(s,\alpha) + I_X(s,\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

say. Moreover, since $F(s,\alpha)$ has polynomial growth, see [10], [12] or the Introduction, as $X \to \infty$ we have

$$I_X(s,\alpha) \ll X^{-\delta}\int_{(-\delta)} |F(s+\frac{w}{2},\alpha)\Gamma(w)\mathrm{d}w| \to 0. \tag{2.19}$$

On the other hand, rewriting (2.16) as (recall that $a^*(n_\alpha) = 0$ if $n_\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$)

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(s,\alpha) = \frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\big(\frac{Q}{2}\big)^{1-2s} &\sum_{\ell=9}^{h^*} a_\ell \Gamma(2(1-s) - 1/2 - \ell)\times \\ &\times \Big\{ e^{i\pi(s+\mu)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}(1 + z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)} \\ &- e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n\neq n_\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}(1 - z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)} \\ &- e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)} \frac{a^*(n_\alpha)}{n_\alpha^{1-s}}(1 - z_X(n_\alpha,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)} \Big\} \\ = A_X(s,\alpha) - B_X(s,\alpha) - C_X(s,\alpha) & \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

say, from (2.18) and (2.20) we get, for $X > 1$ and s as in (2.14), that

$$F(s,\alpha) = A_X(s,\alpha) - B_X(s,\alpha) - \big(C_X(s,\alpha) + \Sigma_X(s,\alpha)\big) - I_X(s,\alpha). \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.19) and (2.20) it is clear that, as $X \to \infty$, $I_X(s,\alpha)$, $A_X(s,\alpha)$ and $B_X(s,\alpha)$ tend, respectively, to 0 and to well defined functions $A(s,\alpha)$ and $B(s,\alpha)$, say, in the range (2.14). Later on we shall compute explicitly such functions, but first we treat the critical term $C_X(s,\alpha) +$

$\Sigma_X(s,\alpha)$, depending on α belonging to $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$ or not. Actually, we show that in both cases $C_X(s,\alpha)+\Sigma_X(s,\alpha)$ vanishes identically.

Suppose first that $\alpha\notin\mathrm{Spec}(F)$. Then $F(s,\alpha)$ is entire and $a^*(n_\alpha)=0$, hence both $C_X(s,\alpha)$ and $\Sigma_X(s,\alpha)$ vanish identically. Suppose now $\alpha\in\mathrm{Spec}(F)$. Then from (1.7), (2.1) and (2.11) we have

$$1-z_X(n_\alpha,\alpha)=i\frac{Q}{2X\sqrt{n_\alpha}}.$$

Since in view of (1.8) we have $2(s_\ell-s)=2(1-s)-1/2-\ell$, and recalling the definition of conductor q of $F(s)$ in (1.3), by a simple computation we obtain

$$C_X(s,\alpha)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}\pi_\ell(\alpha)\Gamma(2(s_\ell-s))X^{2(s_\ell-s)}$$

with

$$\pi_\ell(\alpha)=-\frac{i\omega a_\ell}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{a^*(n_\alpha)}{n_\alpha^{1-s_\ell}}\big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi}\big)^{1-2s_\ell}e^{-i\pi(s_\ell+\mu)}. \tag{2.22}$$

Since $\Re(s_\ell-s)>0$ for s as in (2.14), comparing $\Sigma_X(s,\alpha)$ in (2.18) with the above expression for $C_X(s,\alpha)$ and observing that all other terms in (2.21) remain bounded as $X\to\infty$, we deduce that $C_X(s,\alpha)+\Sigma_X(s,\alpha)$ must vanish identically. In particular, thanks to (2.17) and (2.22) the residue $\kappa_\ell(\alpha)$ of $F(s,\alpha)$ at $s=s_\ell$ is explicitly given by

$$\kappa_\ell(\alpha)=\frac{i\omega a_\ell}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{a^*(n_\alpha)}{n_\alpha^{1-s_\ell}}\big(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi}\big)^{1-2s_\ell}e^{-i\pi(s_\ell+\mu)}\qquad\qquad \ell=0,\dots,h^*, \tag{2.23}$$

where ω, a_ℓ and μ are defined by (1.4), (1.15) and (1.10), respectively.

Finally we may let $X\to\infty$ in (2.21) thus getting, for every $\alpha>0$ and s as in (2.14), that

$$F(s,\alpha)=A(s,\alpha)-B(s,\alpha). \tag{2.24}$$

2.3. Functional equation. We first compute $A(s,\alpha)$ and $B(s,\alpha)$. Note that by (1.7), (1.11) and (2.1)

$$\pi\alpha Q=\sqrt{n_\alpha}=\nu_\alpha.$$

Hence, letting $X\to\infty$, from (2.11) and (2.20) we obtain at once that

$$A(s,\alpha)=\frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\big(\frac{Q}{2}\big)^{1-2s}\sum_{\ell=9}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)e^{i\pi(s+\mu)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}(1+\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}})^{2(s-s_\ell)}. \tag{2.25}$$

From (1.7) and (2.11) we see that for $n<n_\alpha$ the real part of $1-z_X(n,\alpha)$ is negative, hence for such n's we have

$$\lim_{X\to\infty}(1-z_X(n,\alpha))^{2(s-s_\ell)}=\left|1-\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\right|^{2(s-s_\ell)}e^{i\pi 2(s-s_\ell)}.$$

Therefore, arguing as for $A(s,\alpha)$ and recalling that an empty sum equals 0, we get

$$\begin{aligned}B(s,\alpha)=\frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\big(\frac{Q}{2}\big)^{1-2s}&\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)e^{-i\pi(s+\mu)}\times\\ &\times\left\{e^{i\pi 2(s-s_\ell)}\sum_{1\le n<n_\alpha}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}\left|1-\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\right|^{2(s-s_\ell)}+\sum_{n>n_\alpha}\frac{a^*(n)}{n^{1-s}}\left|1-\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\right|^{2(s-s_\ell)}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Note, as after (2.16), that the series in (2.25) and (2.26) are absolutely convergent since $\sigma<0$.

Next we give a uniform shape to the generalized Dirichlet series in $A(s,\alpha)$ and $B(s,\alpha)$. To this end we recall that $\nu=\pm\sqrt{n}$ with $n=1,2\dots$, hence for $\nu\neq-\nu_\alpha$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|\nu|^{2(1-s_\ell)}|\nu+\nu_\alpha|^{2(s_\ell-s)}}=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{n^{1-s}}\big(1+\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^{2(s-s_\ell)} & \text{if } \nu\geq 1\\ \frac{1}{n^{1-s}}\Big|1-\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\Big|^{2(s-s_\ell)} & \text{if } \nu\leq -1.\end{cases}\tag{2.27}$$

Therefore, a simple computation based on (2.24)-(2.27) and (1.8) shows that for s as in (2.14) we have

$$F(s,\alpha)=\frac{\omega}{i\sqrt{2\pi}}\big(\frac{Q}{2}\big)^{1-2s}\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)F_\ell^*(1-s,\alpha)\tag{2.28}$$

with $F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)$ as in (1.12). The Theorem now follows by analytic continuation from (2.1), (2.28) and the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha>0$ and $\ell=0,\dots,h^*$. Then the functions $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$ are entire with polynomial growth on vertical strips.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by showing that the $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$'s are close to certain stratifications of $F^*(s)$. Let $H>\nu_\alpha^2+1$ be an integer to be chosen later on. From (2.27) and the definition of $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$, see (1.14), for $\sigma>1$ we have

$$F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)=\sum_{n\geq H}\frac{c^*(n)}{n^s}\big(1\pm\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^{-2s+1/2+\ell}+G_\ell^\pm(s)\tag{2.29}$$

with certain generalized Dirichlet polynomials $G_\ell^\pm(s)=G_{\ell,H}^\pm(s,\alpha)$, which are entire. Writing

$$\rho=-2s+1/2+\ell\tag{2.30}$$

we have

$$\big(1\pm\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^\rho=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\pm1)^r\binom{\rho}{r}\big(\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^r=\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2,$$

where Σ_1 is the sum over $r\leq R$ and Σ_2 over $r\geq R+1$, R being an arbitrarily large positive integer. Clearly

$$\Big|(\pm1)^r\binom{\rho}{r}\Big|\leq\frac{|\rho||\rho+1|\cdots|\rho+r-1|}{r!}\leq\prod_{j=1}^{r}(1+|\rho|/j)\leq(1+|\rho|)^r,$$

therefore, writing

$$K=K_{s,\ell,\alpha}=(1+|\rho|)\nu_\alpha\tag{2.31}$$

and choosing $H\geq 2K^2$, for $n\geq H$ we obtain

$$\Sigma_2\ll\sum_{r=R+1}^{\infty}\big(\frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^r=\big(\frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^{R+1}\frac{1}{1-K/\sqrt{n}}\ll\big(\frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^{R+1}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq H}\frac{c^*(n)}{n^s}\big(1\pm\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^\rho&=\sum_{n\geq H}\frac{c^*(n)}{n^s}\sum_{r\leq R}(\pm1)^r\binom{\rho}{r}\big(\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\sqrt{n}}\big)^r\\&\quad+O\big(K^{R+1}\sum_{n\geq H}\frac{|c^*(n)|}{n^{\sigma+(R+1)/2}}\big)=E_1^\pm(s)+E_2^\pm(s),\end{aligned}\tag{2.32}$$

say, where $E_j^\pm(s)=E_{j,\ell,H,R}^\pm(s,\alpha)$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ intersecting the half-plane $\sigma>1$ and choose

$$H=H_{\mathcal{K},\ell,\alpha}=2[\max_{s\in\mathcal{K}}K^2]+1.\tag{2.33}$$

Then $E_2^{\pm}(s)$ is a double series of holomorphic functions, absolutely and uniformly convergent in $\{\sigma > -(R-1)/2\} \cap \mathcal{K}$. Now observe that, in view of (1.13), for $n \geq H$ we have, depending on the the choice of $\pm$ in $F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$,

$$c^*(n) = \mp e^{\pm i\pi\mu} a^*(n).$$

Therefore, rearranging terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{\pm}(s) &= \mp e^{\pm i\pi\mu} \sum_{r\leq R} (\pm 1)^r \binom{\rho}{r} \nu_\alpha^r \sum_{n\geq H} \frac{a^*(n)}{n^{s+r/2}} \\ &= \mp e^{\pm i\pi\mu} \sum_{r\leq R} (\pm 1)^r \binom{\rho}{r} \nu_\alpha^r \big(F^*(s+r/2) - D^*(s+r/2)\big) \\ &= \sum_{r\leq R} Q_r^{\pm}(s) F^*(s+r/2) + E_3^{\pm}(s), \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $D^*(s) = D_H^*(s)$ is a Dirichlet polynomial, the $Q_r^{\pm}(s) = Q_{r,\ell}^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$ are polynomials and $E_3^{\pm}(s) = E_{3,\ell,H,R}^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$ is an entire function. Thus $E_1^{\pm}(s)$ is also entire thanks to the properties of $F^*(s)$, and hence from (2.29)-(2.34) we deduce that the generalized Dirichlet series $F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$, absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$, are actually entire functions since R and $\mathcal{K}$ are arbitrary.

To prove that $F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$ have polynomial growth on vertical strips we write, in view of (2.29), (2.30) and (2.32),

$$F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha) = G_\ell^{\pm}(s) + E_1^{\pm}(s) + E_2^{\pm}(s) \tag{2.35}$$

and recall that the functions on the right hand side depend on H. Moreover, from (2.30), (2.31), (2.33) and choosing $\mathcal{K}$ to be the rectangle $[-(R-2)/2, 2] \times [-|t|, |t|]$, we have that

$$H \ll_R (|t|+1)^2$$

uniformly for $-(R-2)/2 \leq \sigma \leq 2$. Since the (generalized) Dirichlet polynomials involved in (2.35) have length $\ll H$ and coefficients closely related with $a^*(n)$, recalling that $F^*(s)$ has polynomial growth on vertical strips we see from (2.32), (2.34) and (2.35) that

$$F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha) \ll_R (|t|+1)^{c(R)}$$

with some $c(R) > 0$, uniformly for $-(R-2)/2 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ with an arbitrarily large $R > 0$. □

2.4. Proof of Corollary 1. The first assertion is already proved in Lemma 2.1. We note preliminarily that from (1.12) we have

$$\inf\{\xi : e^{-\pi|t|}|F_\ell^*(\sigma+it,\alpha)| \ll |t|^\xi \text{ as } |t| \to \infty\} \geq 0$$

for every σ, since $F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$ are generalized Dirichlet series with polynomial growth on vertical strips. Hence Stirling's formula coupled with (1.16) shows that

$$\mu(\sigma) \geq 1 - 2\sigma, \tag{2.36}$$

since the factorial formula of the Γ function implies that for $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$

$$\Gamma(2(1-s) - 1/2 - \ell) = \Gamma(2(1-s) - 1/2)/P_\ell(s) \tag{2.37}$$

with obvious polynomials $P_\ell(s)$ of degree ℓ and $P_0(s) \equiv 1$. Now we proceed in a similar way as in Lemma 2.1 to show that $F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$ are a kind of stratification of $F_0^{\pm}(s,\alpha)$. Clearly we may write $|\nu||\nu+\nu_\alpha|$, with $\nu = \pm\sqrt{n}$ and n large enough, as $\nu(\nu \pm \nu_\alpha)$ with $\nu = \sqrt{n}$. Hence, choosing $V > 0$ sufficiently large, for $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$ we write

$$F_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha) = \sum_{\nu\geq V} \frac{c^*(\nu^2)}{\nu^{1/2+\ell}(\nu \pm \nu_\alpha)^{2s-1/2-\ell}} + D_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha) = G_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha) + D_\ell^{\pm}(s,\alpha), \tag{2.38}$$

say, where $D_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$ are generalized Dirichlet polynomials. Moreover, since

$$\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu\pm\nu_\alpha}\right)^{-\ell}=\left(1\mp\frac{\nu_\alpha}{\nu\pm\nu_\alpha}\right)^{-\ell}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\mp\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}\frac{1}{(\nu\pm\nu_\alpha)^r},$$

we have

$$G_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\mp\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}G_0^\pm(s+r/2,\alpha)$$

and therefore by (2.38)

$$F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(\mp\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}\left(F_0^\pm(s+r/2,\alpha)-D_0^\pm(s+r/2,\alpha)\right)+D_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha).$$

Hence, in view of the vertical behavior of generalized Dirichlet series in the half-plane of absolute convergence, for every σ there exists $K=K(\sigma)$ such that as $|t|\to\infty$

$$F_\ell^\pm(1-s,\alpha)=\sum_{r=0}^{K}(\mp\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}F_0^\pm(1-s+r/2,\alpha)+O(1). \tag{2.39}$$

From (1.12), (1.16) and (2.39) we therefore have

$$F(s,\alpha)=e^{as+b}\sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*}a_\ell\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2-\ell)\left\{H_\ell(1-s,\alpha)+O(e^{\pi|t|})\right\} \tag{2.40}$$

with suitable $a\in\mathbb{R}$, $b\in\mathbb{C}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}H_\ell(1-s,\alpha)&=e^{-i\pi(1-s)}\sum_{r=0}^{K}(-\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}F_0^+(1-s+r/2,\alpha)\\&\quad+e^{i\pi(1-s)}\sum_{r=0}^{K}(\nu_\alpha)^r\binom{-\ell}{r}F_0^-(1-s+r/2,\alpha)\\&=H_\ell^+(1-s,\alpha)+H_\ell^-(1-s,\alpha),\end{aligned}$$

say. Note that if $t\to+\infty$, then $H_\ell^+(1-s,\alpha)$ has exponential decay since $F_0^+(s,\alpha)$ has polynomial growth, and viceversa if $t\to-\infty$. Suppose that $\mu^*(1-\sigma)=0$, and hence by (1.18) also $\mu^\pm(1-\sigma)=0$. Then, again by Stirling's formula and (2.37), from (2.40) we get that $\mu(\sigma)\le 1-2\sigma$ and therefore by (2.36)

$$\mu(\sigma)=1-2\sigma=1-2\sigma+\mu^*(1-\sigma)$$

in this case. Suppose now that $\mu^*(1-\sigma)>0$. Then, thanks to definitions (1.17) and (1.18), the error term in (2.40) is negligible and, still by Stirling's formula and (2.37), from (2.40) we get

$$\inf\{\xi:F(s,\alpha)\ll|t|^\xi\}=\begin{cases}1-2\sigma+\mu^-(1-\sigma) & \text{if } t\to+\infty\\ 1-2\sigma+\mu^+(1-\sigma) & \text{if } t\to-\infty.\end{cases}$$

The proof of the corollary is now complete. □

3. Proof of Corollaries 2 and 3

3.1. Proof of Corollary 2. We start recalling the notation of Subsection 1.2 and writing

$$\Sigma(s) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*} a_\ell \Gamma\big(2s - 1/2 - \ell\big) F_\ell^*(s,\alpha);$$

note that by (1.16) the zeros of $F(s,\alpha)$ coincide with those of $\Sigma(1-s)$. By (2.37) we write, again with obvious polynomials $Q_\ell(s)$ of degree ℓ,

$$\Sigma(s) = \Gamma(2s-1/2) \sum_{\ell=0}^{h^*} a_\ell \frac{F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)}{Q_\ell(s)} = \Gamma(2s-1/2) H(s), \tag{3.1}$$

say. Moreover, thanks to the definition of $F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha)$ and of $\nu_\pm$, we have

$$F_\ell^\pm(s,\alpha) = \frac{c^*(\nu_\pm^2)}{|\nu_\pm^2|^{1/2} |\nu_\pm + \nu_\alpha|^{2s-1/2}} \left(\frac{|\nu_\pm + \nu_\alpha|}{|\nu_\pm|} \right)^\ell (1 + h_\ell^\pm(s)), \tag{3.2}$$

where $h_\ell^\pm(s)$ are holomorphic and satisfy, uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\ell = 0, \dots, h^*$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$,

$$h_\ell^\pm(s) = o(1).$$

Hence, recalling the definition of $m_\pm$ and $c_\pm^*$ in (1.19), and that $\deg Q_\ell \geq 1$ for $\ell \geq 1$, from (1.12), (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\Sigma(s) = \Gamma(2s-1/2) \left(e^{-i\pi s} \frac{c_+^*}{m_+^{2s}} (1 + h^+(s)) + e^{i\pi s} \frac{c_-^*}{m_-^{2s}} (1 + h^-(s)) \right), \tag{3.3}$$

where $h^\pm(s)$ are holomorphic and satisfy, uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$,

$$h^\pm(s) = o(1). \tag{3.4}$$

In order to detect the zeros of $\Sigma(1-s)$ with $\sigma < 0$ we write

$$W(s) = e^{-i\pi s} \frac{c_+^*}{m_+^{2s}} + e^{i\pi s} \frac{c_-^*}{m_-^{2s}}$$

and study the zeros of $W(1-s)$; an application of Rouché's theorem will then allow to get corresponding results for $\Sigma(1-s)$. Since $-1 = e^{i\pi}$, in view of (1.19) we have that $W(1-s) = 0$ if and only if

$$e^{-i\pi(1-\sigma-it)} \rho_+ e^{i\theta_+} m_+^{-2(1-\sigma-it)} = e^{i\pi(1-\sigma-it)+i\pi} \rho_- e^{i\theta_-} m_-^{-2(1-\sigma-it)},$$

i.e.

$$e^{i(\pi(\sigma-1)+\theta_+ + 2t\log m_+)} e^{-\pi t + \log\rho_+ + 2(\sigma-1)\log m_+} = e^{i(-\pi\sigma+\theta_- + 2t\log m_-)} e^{\pi t + \log\rho_- + 2(\sigma-1)\log m_-}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence the moduli of the two sides of (3.5) are equal provided

$$\frac{\sigma}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{m_+}{m_-}\right) - t + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{\rho_+ m_-^2}{\rho_- m_+^2}\right) = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

while the arguments are equal provided for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$2\pi\sigma - 2t \log\left(\frac{m_-}{m_+}\right) + \theta_+ - \theta_- - (2k+1)\pi = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Since the lines in (3.6) and (3.7) are orthogonal, as k varies over $\mathbb{Z}$ they have infinitely many intersections in the half-plane $\sigma < 0$. Hence $W(1-s)$ has infinitely many zeros on the part of the line (3.6) with $\sigma < 0$, and the line (3.6) is exactly the same line in the definition of $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$, see (1.20). Moreover, the number of such zeros in $-R \leq \sigma < -\sigma_0$ clearly equals

$$c_2(\alpha) R + O_{\sigma_0}(1), \tag{3.8}$$

with a certain $c_2(\alpha) \neq 0$, for any $\sigma_0 > 0$.

Now we write

$$V(s) = e^{-i\pi s}\frac{c_+^*}{m_+^{2s}}h^+(s) + e^{i\pi s}\frac{c_-^*}{m_-^{2s}}h^-(s),$$

thus by (3.1) and (3.3)

$$H(1-s) = W(1-s) + V(1-s). \tag{3.9}$$

In view of the above argument, Corollary 2 will follow if we show that there exists $c > 0$ such that for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|W(1-s)| \geq c\varepsilon \max\left(\left|e^{-i\pi(1-s)}\frac{c_+^*}{m_+^{2(1-s)}}\right|, \left|e^{i\pi(1-s)}\frac{c_-^*}{m_-^{2(1-s)}}\right|\right) = c\varepsilon\rho(1-s), \tag{3.10}$$

say, for $s \notin \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ with $\sigma < 0$ and for s on the vertical segments $\mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha) \cap \{\sigma = -R_n\}$, where $R_n \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$, is a suitable increasing sequence tending to $+\infty$. Indeed, by (3.4), for $\sigma \to -\infty$ we have

$$V(1-s) = o\big(\rho(1-s)\big) \tag{3.11}$$

uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, hence (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) imply that $H(1-s) \neq 0$ for $s \notin \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and $\sigma < -\sigma_\varepsilon$ for some $\sigma_\varepsilon \geq 0$. Therefore, *i)* of Corollary 2 follows from (1.16) and (3.1). Moreover, given n_0 with $R_{n_0} > \sigma_\varepsilon$, let $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ be the parallelogram with sides $\mathcal{R}_{n_0}$, $\mathcal{R}_n$ with $n > n_0$ and the two segments of boundary of $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ with real part between $-R_n$ and $-R_{n_0}$. Then by the same reason as before, for $s \in \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ we have

$$|W(1-s)| > |V(1-s)|,$$

hence by Rouché's theorem $H(1-s)$ has inside $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ the same number of zeros of $W(1-s)$. Therefore, *ii)* of Corollary 2 follows by letting $n \to \infty$, since we already detected the zeros of $W(1-s)$, and the poles of $\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2)$ cannot cancel such zeros.

It remains to prove (3.10). We already know that

$$\left|e^{-i\pi(1-s)}\frac{c_+^*}{m_+^{2(1-s)}}\right| = \left|e^{i\pi(1-s)}\frac{c_-^*}{m_-^{2(1-s)}}\right| = \widetilde{\rho}(\sigma),$$

say, when s is on the line (3.6). Writing such a line as $t = t(\sigma)$, we denote the points $s \notin \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\alpha)$ with $\sigma < 0$ as $s = \sigma + i(t(\sigma) + \delta)$ with $|\delta| \geq \varepsilon$. Then in view of (3.5) we have

$$W(1-s) = e^{i\theta_1(s)}\widetilde{\rho}(\sigma)e^{-\pi\delta} + e^{i\theta_2(s)}\widetilde{\rho}(\sigma)e^{\pi\delta}$$

with certain $\theta_j(s) \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence with a certain $c > 0$ we have

$$|W(1-s)| \geq \widetilde{\rho}(\sigma)\big(e^{\pi|\delta|} - e^{-\pi|\delta|}\big) \geq c\varepsilon\widetilde{\rho}(\sigma)e^{\pi|\delta|} = c\varepsilon\rho(1-s),$$

as it is easy to check analysing two cases $\varepsilon \leq |\delta| \leq 1$ and $|\delta| \geq 1$. A similar lower bound can be obtained for $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$ as follows. By continuity, between two consecutive intersections of the lines (3.6) and (3.7) in the half-plane $\sigma < 0$, there is a value of σ such that the two terms in $W(1-s)$ have the same argument when $s = \sigma + it(\sigma)$. Then we choose the R_n to be these values of σ, thus

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \{R_n + i(t(R_n) + \delta), |\delta| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Due to the shape of such arguments, see (3.5), and since $0 < \varepsilon < c_1(\alpha)$ and $c_1(\alpha)$ can be chosen sufficiently small depending on $F(s)$ and α, the absolute value of the difference between the above arguments when s runs over $\mathcal{R}_n$ is bounded by $\pi/100$, say. Hence

$$|W(1-s)| \geq c\rho(1-s)$$

for $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$, and (3.10) follows. Corollary 2 is therefore proved. □

3.2. Proof of Corollary 3. Let $N_F(T,\alpha)$ be as in (1.22), $T_0>0, a>\sigma^-$ and $b>\sigma^+$ be sufficiently large,

$$N^+(T)=\{\rho=\beta+i\gamma : F(\rho,\alpha)=0 \text{ with } -a\le\sigma\le b \text{ and } T_0<\gamma\le T\}$$

and similarly for $N^-(T)$, with $-T\le\gamma<-T_0$. Then in view of the results in Subsection 1.4, see in particular (1.21), we have

$$N_F(T,\alpha)=N^+(T)+N^-(T)+O(1). \tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 3 will follow from a suitable application to $N^\pm(T)$ of the classical technique for the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula based on the argument principle; hence we give only a sketch of proof.

Let $\mathcal{R}^+$ be the rectangle joining the points $-a+iT_0$, $b+iT_0$, $b+iT$ and $-a+iT$ with positive orientation, and denote by $\mathcal{L}_j$, $j=1,\dots,4$, its sides, starting with the lower horizontal side. Hence

$$N^+(T)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_j}\arg F(s,\alpha)=\frac{1}{2\pi}(\Delta_1+\cdots+\Delta_4), \tag{3.13}$$

say, and clearly

$$\Delta_1=O(1). \tag{3.14}$$

Recalling the definition of n_0 in Subsection 1.4 we have

$$F(s,\alpha)=\frac{1}{n_0^s}\left(a(n_0)e(-\alpha\sqrt{n_0})+\sum_{n>n_0}\frac{a(n)e(-\alpha\sqrt{n})}{(n/n_0)^s}\right)=\frac{1}{n_0^s}\big(a(n_0)e(-\alpha\sqrt{n_0})+o(1)\big)$$

uniformly for $s\in\mathcal{L}_2$ as $b\to\infty$, hence for b sufficiently large

$$\Delta_2=-T\log n_0+O(1). \tag{3.15}$$

For the treatment of $\mathcal{L}_3$ we consider the conjugate function

$$\overline{F}(s,-\alpha)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{a(n)}e(\alpha\sqrt{n})}{n^s},$$

so that

$$2\Re F(\sigma+iT,\alpha)=F(\sigma+iT,\alpha)+\overline{F}(\sigma-iT,-\alpha)=f(\sigma),$$

say. We follow the standard approach of bounding the variation of the argument of $F(s,\alpha)$ on $\mathcal{L}_3$ by means of the number of zeros of $\Re F(\sigma+iT,\alpha)$ on the segment $-a\le\sigma\le b$. In turn, such number is bounded via Jensen's inequality by the number of zeros of the holomorphic function $f(s)$ on the circle of radius $r=(a+b)/2$ and center $s_0=(b-a)/2$. Since $F(s,\alpha)$ has polynomial growth on vertical strips we obtain

$$\Delta_3=O(\log T). \tag{3.16}$$

On $\mathcal{L}_4$ we use functional equation (1.16). Using once again the factorial formula for the Γ function as in (2.37) and (3.1), in view of the definition of $F_\ell^*(s,\alpha)$ in (1.12) and of $m_\pm$ in (1.19) we get, uniformly for $s\in\mathcal{L}_4$ as $a\to\infty$, that

$$F(s,\alpha)=ce^{-i\pi s}\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi m_-}\right)^{-2s}\Gamma(2(1-s)-1/2)\big(1+o(1)\big)$$

with a certain constant $c\in\mathbb{C}$, $c\neq0$. Hence for a sufficiently large, from Stirling's formula we obtain

$$\Delta_4=2T\log T+T\log\left(\frac{N}{(2\pi e m_-)^2}\right)+O(1), \tag{3.17}$$

therefore from (3.13)-(3.17) we finally deduce that

$$N^+(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} T \log T + \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{N}{n_0 (2\pi e m_-)^2} \right) + O(\log T). \tag{3.18}$$

A completely analogous argument, applied to the rectangle $\mathcal{R}^-$ joining the points $-a - iT$, $b - iT$, $b - iT_0$ and $-a - iT_0$, with positive orientation, shows that

$$N^-(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} T \log T + \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{N}{n_0 (2\pi e m_+)^2} \right) + O(\log T). \tag{3.19}$$

Corollary 3 follows now from (3.12), (3.18) and (3.19). □

4. Appendix

Here we prove an assertion made in Subsection 1.1, namely that if a function $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ has degree ≥ 2 and satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture, then its standard twist $F(s, \alpha)$ does not satisfy a functional equation of type (1.2). Since the argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [11], which implies the slightly weaker statement that $F(s, \alpha)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ under the same hypotheses on $F(s)$, we only give a sketch of the proof.

We first recall some general results on nonlinear twists from our paper [12]. Given a function $L \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with degree $d_L > 0$ and Dirichlet coefficients $a(n)$, its spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(L)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{Spec}(L) = \{\beta > 0 : a(n_\beta) \neq 0\},$$

where $n_\beta = q_L d_L^{-d_L} \beta^{d_L}$, $a(n_\beta) = 0$ if $n_\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ and q_L is the conductor of $L(s)$. It is not difficult to check that $\mathrm{Spec}(L)$ is an infinite discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$; see our survey papers listed in the Introduction.

Fact 1. *The standard twist $L(s, \beta)$ of $L(s)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with all poles (if any) on a certain horizontal left half-line, and away from its poles is $\ll e^{|s|^c}$ for some $c > 0$ as $|s| \to \infty$. Moreover, if $\beta \in Spec(L)$ then $L(s, \beta)$ has a pole at the right end of such half-line. Further, all nonlinear twists of $L(s)$ of type*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} e(-\alpha_0 n^{1/d_L} - \alpha_1 n^\lambda),$$

where $\alpha_0 \geq 0$, $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1/d_L$, are entire.

Fact 1 follows as a special case from Theorems 1,2 and 3 of [12].

Fact 2. *If the standard twist $L(s, \alpha)$ satisfies a functional equation of type* (1.2)*, then the results in Fact* 1 *hold also with $L(s, \alpha)$ in place of $L(s)$.*

Indeed, Theorems 1,2 and 3 of [12] are proved for functions belonging to $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$, but under the assumption in Fact 2 we have:

(i) $L(s, \alpha)$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$;
(ii) $L(s, \alpha)$ has the meromorphic structure described in Fact 1;
(iii) $L(s, \alpha)$ satisfies a functional equation of type (1.2).

Fact 2 now follows observing that (i)-(iii) and the definition of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ differ only by condition (ii); moreover, one can check that the arguments leading to the above quoted theorems do not depend on the presence of a pole at $s = 1$ only, as in the case of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$, and still work if the function under consideration satisfies (ii). From Facts 1 and 2, and the arguments in Theorem 1 of [11], we now derive the following result, thus justifying the above assertion.

Proposition 4.1. *If $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ has degree ≥ 2 and satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture, then the standard twist $F(s, \alpha)$ does not satisfy a functional equation of type* (1.2).

Note that Proposition 4.1 in the case $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Spec}(F)$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 in [11]. Indeed, in this case $F(s,\alpha)$ satisfies the first two conditions in the definition of $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$, hence the fact that $F(s,\alpha)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{S}^\sharp$ means simply that $F(s,\alpha)$ does not satisfy functional equation (1.2).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let d_F and $a_F(n)$ denote, respectively, degree and Dirichlet coefficients of $F(s)$, write

$$G(s) = F(s,\alpha)$$

and assume that $G(s)$ satisfies (1.2). Then we can define degree d_G and spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(G)$ of $G(s)$ and, thanks to Fact 2, $G(s)$ has the properties described in Fact 1 for $L(s)$; we denote by $a_G(n)$ the Dirichlet coefficients of $G(s)$.

We may assume that $d_G \geq d_F$, otherwise we replace $F(s)$ by $\overline{G}(s)$ in what follows. If $d_G > d_F$ we choose $\beta \in \mathrm{Spec}(G)$ and note from Fact 1, applied to $G(s)$, that the standard twist $G(s,\beta)$ is not entire. Moreover, it can be written as

$$G(s,\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^s} e(-\alpha n^{1/d_F} - \beta n^{1/d_G}).$$

But, since $\beta > 0$ and $0 < 1/\mathrm{d}_G < 1/d_F$, from Fact 1 applied to $F(s)$ we have that $G(s,\beta)$ is entire, a contradiction.

Thus we have that $d_F = d_G = d \geq 2$, say. Now we follow the proof of Theorem 1 of [11] (see p.150, after (2.1) there) and obtain that the Dirichlet series of $G(s)$, and hence of $F(s)$ as well, is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1/d$. This gives a contradiction, since Corollary 3 of [10] states that the abscissa of absolute convergence of $F(s)$ is $\geq (d+1)/2d$. □

We finally remark that the Ramanujan conjecture is used in the part of the proof of Theorem 1 in [11] which we followed without giving details.

References

[1] J.H.Bruinier - *Modulformen halbganzen Gewichts und Beziehung zu Dirichletreihen* - Diplomarbeit, Universität Heidelberg, 1997 (`http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/fbereiche/AlgGeoFA/staff/bruinier/publications/dipl.pdf`).

[2] E.Carletti, G.Monti Bragadin, A.Perelli - *A note on Hecke's functional equation and the Selberg class* - Funct. Approx. **41** (2009), 211–220.

[3] J.B.Conrey, A.Ghosh - *On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees* - Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 673–693.

[4] H.Iwaniec - *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms* - A.M.S. Publications 1997.

[5] J.Kaczorowski - *Some remarks on Fourier coefficients of Hecke modular functions* - Comment. Math., special volume *in honorem* J. Musielk, (2004), 105–121.

[6] J.Kaczorowski - *Axiomatic theory of L-functions: the Selberg class* - In *Analytic Number Theory*, C.I.M.E. Summer School, Cetraro (Italy), ed. by A.Perelli and C.Viola, 133–209, Springer L.N. 1891, 2006.

[7] J.Kaczorowski, G.Molteni, A.Perelli, J.Steuding, J.Wolfart - *Hecke's theory and the Selberg class* - Funct. Approx. **35** (2006), 183–193.

[8] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *On the structure of the Selberg class, I:* $0 \le d \le 1$ - Acta Math. **182** (1999), 207–241.

[9] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *The Selberg class: a survey* - In *Number Theory in Progress*, Proc. Conf. in Honor of A.Schinzel, ed. by K.Győry *et al.*, 953–992, de Gruyter 1999.

[10] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *On the structure of the Selberg class, VI: non-linear twists* - Acta Arith. **116** (2005), 315–341.

[11] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *Internal twists of L-functions* - In *Number Theory, Analysis, and Combinatorics*, ed. by J.Pintz *et al.*, 145–154, de Gruyter 2014.

[12] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *Twists and resonance of L-functions, I* - J. European Math. Soc. **18** (2016), 1349–1389.

[13] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *Some remarks on the convergence of the Dirichlet series of L-functions and related questions* - To appear in Math. Zeitschrift.

[14] J.Kaczorowski, A.Perelli - *Introduction to the Selberg Class of L-Functions* - In preparation.

[15] T.Miyake - *Modular Forms* - Springer Verlag 1989.

[16] A.Ogg - *Modular Forms and Dirichlet Series* - Benjamin 1969.

[17] R.B.Paris, D.Kaminski - *Asymptotics and Mellin-Barnes Integrals* - Cambridge U. P. 2001.

[18] A.Perelli - *A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions, part I* - Milan J. Math. **73** (2005), 19–52.

[19] A.Perelli - *A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions, part II* - Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7) **3*** (2004), 83–118.

[20] A.Perelli - *Non-linear twists of L-functions: a survey* - Milan J. Math. **78** (2010), 117–134.

[21] A.Selberg - *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series* - In *Proc. Amalfi Conf. Analytic Number Theory*, ed. by E.Bombieri *et al.*, 367–385, Università di Salerno 1992; *Collected Papers*, vol. II, 47–63, Springer Verlag 1991.

Jerzy Kaczorowski, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, A.Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland and Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland. e-mail: kjerzy@amu.edu.pl

Alberto Perelli, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova, via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy. e-mail: perelli@dima.unige.it